REMARKS/ARGUMENT

This response is submitted under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 to the requirement of the Office Action of July 19, 2002.

Claims 1 through 15, 17 through 32, and 34 through 36 are pending.

The Examiner restricts the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 121 by requiring the applicants to elect a single disclosed species for examination. The applicants traverse this restriction requirement and request reconsideration.

The applicant traverses the election requirement because the general formula permits the various species to be searched together. Therefore, the applicant believes that the election requirement should be withdrawn.

The applicant elects the species of Compound 4 of Table 1 to be searched. The claims directed to this compound are as follows:

R¹ is recited by claim 7:

R² is recited by claim 9;

R4 is recited by claim 13;

R⁵ is recited by claim 36;

R6 is recited by claim 31; and

A is recited by claim 20.

The Examiner did not restrict the claims to separate groups or request new claims directed to the elected species.

Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted.

16 Aug. 2002

Paul Grandinetti

Reg. No. 30,754

(Washington Office — Telephone (202) 429-4560)

William O. Gray, III

Reg. No. 30,944

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone (212) 382-0700